

BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of:

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL
DISTRICT; LOS ANGELES COUNTY
OFFICE OF EDUCATION; SOLEDAD
ENRICHMENT ACTION PACOIMA
EDUCATIONAL CENTER; AND
SOLEDAD ENRICHMENT ACTION
NORTH HILLS EDUCATION CENTER.

OAH Case No. 2015100139

ORDER GRANTING REQUEST FOR
CONTINUANCE AND SETTING
PREHEARING CONFERENCE AND
HEARING DATES

On January 22, 2016, the parties filed a joint request to continue the dates in this matter with the Office of Administrative Hearings, based upon the need for additional time to effectuate a placement for Student that may resolve this matter. This is the parties' second continuance request, as the matter is presently set for a prehearing conference at 1:00 p.m., on January 29, 2016, and hearing on February 8 through 11, 2016.

A due process hearing must be conducted and a decision rendered within 45 days of receipt of the due process notice unless an extension is granted for good cause. (34 C.F.R. § 300.515(a) & (c) (2006); Ed. Code, §§ 56502, subd. (f), 56505, subd. (f)(3); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 1, § 1020.) As a result, continuances are disfavored. Good cause may include the unavailability of a party, counsel, or an essential witness due to death, illness or other excusable circumstances; substitution of an attorney when the substitution is required in the interests of justice; a party's excused inability to obtain essential testimony or other material evidence despite diligent efforts; or another significant, unanticipated change in the status of the case as a result of which the case is not ready for hearing. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332(c).) OAH considers all relevant facts and circumstances, including the proximity of the hearing date; previous continuances or delays; the length of continuance requested; the availability of other means to address the problem giving rise to the request; prejudice to a party or witness as a result of a continuance; the impact of granting a continuance on other pending hearings; whether trial counsel is engaged in another trial; whether the parties have stipulated to a continuance; whether the interests of justice are served by the continuance; and any other relevant fact or circumstance. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332(d).)

OAH has reviewed the request for good cause and considered all relevant facts and circumstances. The request is:

☒ Granted. All dates are vacated. The parties established good cause for a one month continuance to effectuate Student's placement, which was delayed due to a situation outside the parties' control. NO FURTHER CONTINUANCES SHALL BE GRANTED. Therefore, this matter will be set as follows:

Prehearing Conference:	February 29, 2016, at 10:00 AM
Due Process Hearing:	March 8, 2016, at 9:30 AM, March 9 and 10, 2016, at 9:00 AM, and continuing day to day, Monday through Thursday, as needed at the discretion of the Administrative Law Judge. Matter is assigned to Administrative Law Judge Chris Butchko.

The parties shall immediately notify all potential witnesses of the hearing dates, and shall subpoena witnesses if necessary, to ensure that the witnesses will be available to testify. A witness will not be regarded as unavailable for purposes of showing "good cause" to continue the hearing if the witness is not properly notified of the hearing date or properly subpoenaed, as applicable.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: January 25, 2016

/s/

PETER PAUL CASTILLO
Presiding Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings